



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,519	11/29/2001	Natsuko Yotsumoto	450101-03635	3537
20999 7590 01/28/2008 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			EXAMINER CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A	
			ART UNIT 2623	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/28/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/996,519	Applicant(s) YOTSUMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Sumaiya A. Chowdhury	Art Unit 2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

(a) Applicant argues "...nothing has been found in Boyer that teaches or suggests a second registration process for acquiring second information such as Internet" on page 8, 1st paragraph of the Remarks filed 10/31/07.

The Examiner has brought in Schein to teach this limitation.

(b) Applicant argues "... Applicant submit that Hendricks' personal profile is not required for acquiring information in contrast..." on page 9, 2nd paragraph of the Remarks filed 10/31/07.

The Examiner has brought in Schein to teach this limitation.

(c) Applicant argues "...Boyer's inputted geographical information for television program guide service..." on page 9, 4th paragraph of the Remarks filed 10/31/07.

The Examiner has brought in Schein to teach this limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schein (6388714) in view of Abram (6462778).

As for claim 1, Schein discloses an information processing apparatus comprising:

first acquisition means (receiver) for acquiring the first information (program schedule guide; col. 5, lines 22-35);

first registration means for registering the first subsidiary information required for acquiring said first information (The system requests the user's zip code and billing info in order to provide the program schedule guide service specific to the user's location; Fig. 5, col. 9, lines 1-22);

second acquisition means (receiver) for acquiring the second information (TV show, information related to the show, etc. col. 11, lines 20-39);

second registration means for registering the second subsidiary information required for acquiring said second information (col. 11, lines 20-39, col. 9, lines 18-22);

wherein the first acquisition means and the second acquisition means acquire information via distinct terminals relating to the information content (stored at distinct databases; col. 10, lines 24-34, col. 11, lines 19-35);

wherein subsidiary information content comprised of both first subsidiary information content and second subsidiary information content is stored in memory to be held after power down (User profile info is stored in memory; col. 9, lines 16-22);

verifying means for verifying whether or not said first subsidiary information or said second subsidiary information has already been registered (col. 9, lines 18-22).

wherein said first registration means or said second registration means using, if one of said first subsidiary information and said second subsidiary information is already registered, said one subsidiary information already registered for registration of the other subsidiary information (An automatic confirm may take place. A user may already have an identification number from previous system use. Confirmation of this identification number would allow the system to access stored user profile information which contains the user's billing data; col. 9, lines 18-22);

wherein functions of the information processing apparatus comprises a function of receiving a television broadcast (30 – fig. 1) from a broadcasting station and a function of connecting to Internet (22 – fig. 1) through an Internet service provider (col. 6, lines 17-21, col. 5, lines 35-50, col. 4, lines 54-60);

However, Schein fails to teach wherein correspondence relationships between cities and broadcast territories are stored in a link table;

In an analogous art, Abram teaches storing a table which lists city names with its corresponding longitudinal and latitudinal coordinates (col. 6, lines 28-37).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Schein's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Abram, in order to obtain information specific to the user's geographical area.

As for claim 2, Schein and Abram disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Schein discloses:

said first acquisition means acquires the contents of the television broadcast as said first information (The Examiner is interpreting "the contents of the television broadcast" to refer to the television program's related broadcast information. In other words, the program guide lists the channel and time frame of the television program. Col. 9, lines 1-20; fig. 20);

said second acquisition means acquiring web contents as said second information from a server over the Internet (col. 11, lines 20-39).

As for claim 3, Schein and Abram disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Schein discloses:

said subsidiary information is the information pertinent to the broadcast territories for setting the reception channel in said television broadcast (The computer contains a tuner to allow the user to view TV programs on a computer monitor. In order for the user to receiver TV programming specific to user's area, the user needs to enter in geographical information; col. 6, lines 16-25);

said second subsidiary information being the information pertinent to a provider for connection to said Internet (In order to access the program related information, user subscription which includes the user's zip code (second subsidiary information) is needed. col. 9, lines 1-23, col. 11, lines 19-35, col. 15, lines 13-30).

Claims 4 and 5 contain the limitations of claim 1 and are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to those claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumaiya A. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-8567. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5:00.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:
09/996,519
Art Unit: 2623

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAC



ANDREW Y. KOENIG
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER